

Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale





Analisi retrospettiva della fase precedente il terremoto di MD=5.1 di Bovec-Krn (2004).

## Giuliana Rossi, David Zuliani, Paolo Fabris

Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale –OGS, Centro di Ricerche Sismologiche, Italy



# Northern Adria: the seismic activity



In the last 40 years:

1976:  $M_w$ =6.4 and  $M_w$ =6.0 (Friuli, Italy)

1998: M<sub>w</sub>=5.6 (Bovec-Krn, W Slovenia)

2004: M<sub>w</sub>=5.2 (Bovec-Krn, W Slovenia)



2



### Ravne Fault

#### The GNSS monitoring networks operating in the area





# Rossi et al. 2016; 2017; 2018

- Subtraction of the hydrological effect from the time series
- Recognition of a transient GNSS signal of apparent "period" of two years, causing an uplift and bending along the principal tectonic directions.







Karst Hydrogeology and Geodesy Karst-Carso-Kras, a dynamic borderland Symposium in memoriam of Prof. Maria Zadro

# Rossi et al. 2016; 2017; 2018

- Subtraction of the hydrological effect from the time series
- Recognition of a transient GNSS signal of apparent "period" of two years, causing an uplift and bending along the principal tectonic directions.
- Location of its source through tomographic inversion at 9 km depth to the NW of Bovec-Krn 1998 and 2004 earthquakes, 3 months before 2004 event.
- The velocities are suggestive of fluid diffusion, and hydraulic diffusivity D<sub>h</sub> obtained through hydraulic tomography is compatible with the lithologies of the region.







5



# Fault valve behaviour (Sibson, 1992)

The origin could be a fault valve behavior (Sibson, 1992; Lucente et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2015): in presence of suprahydrostatic gradients in fluid pressure across an active fault the fluids migrate from the base of the seismogenic zone upward in the crust as porosity/solitary waves (Sibson, 1992; Rice, 1992; Connolly and Podladchikov, 2000; 2013; Revil and Cathles, 2002).





# This work aims to further validate this hypothesis by:

- Calculating the permeability values for the principal rock formations;
- Calculating the state of pore pressure when the transient was originated;
- Verifying the compatibility of seismic activity with the overpressure state and the fluid diffusion.





# Permeability

permeability  $k = D_h \eta_f \left[ \varphi \beta_f + (1 - \varphi) \beta_r \right]$   $\varphi$  =porosity (data from Faccenda et al., 2007, Tectonophysics)  $\eta_f$ =fluid dynamic-viscosity=8.90·10<sup>-04</sup> Pa s;  $\beta_f$ =fluid compressibility=4.6 \*10<sup>-10</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>;  $\beta_r$ = rock compressibility<sub>r</sub>=2·10<sup>-11</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>;  $D_h$ =hydraulic diffusivity (data from Rossi et al., 2016;2017, Tectonophysics).

Lithology	k (m²)
Triassic "Dolomia Principale"	2.87·10 <sup>-15</sup> ±4,61·10 <sup>-17</sup>
Cenozoic flysch	6.65·10 <sup>-16</sup> ±1,52·10 <sup>-17</sup>
Jurassic limestone	5.22·10 <sup>-17</sup> ±1,16·10 <sup>-19</sup>
Paleozoic sandstone	7.60·10 <sup>-17</sup> ±1,11·10 <sup>-19</sup>

Talwani et al. 1999, JGR.



Agreement with Hawle et al. (1967) Petrol.Congress; Sibson and Rowland (2003), GJI; Giorgioni et al., (2010), Mar.Petr. Geology.



# Depth variation of the physical properties



9

Density, porosity, and permeability vs depth





### Pore pressure?

Rossi et al. (2016) calculated the effective stress  $\sigma_0$ , which generated the observed wave (23 MPa).

Following Terzaghi, K. (1923)  $\sigma_v = \rho_b g z - P_p = (1 - \lambda) \rho_b g z$  where

$$\rho_b = \varphi \rho_f + (1 - \varphi) \rho_g$$

 $P_{p}$ =pore pressure,  $\rho_{b}$ =bulk density of sediment  $\rho_{g}$ : grain density  $\rho_{f}$ : water density

we calculated : where

λ =pore fluid ratio  $\lambda = 1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{\rho_b gz}$ 



#### Density, porosity, permeability and lithostatic load vs depth



# Diffusion as an additional mechanism in the Bovec sequence





# Diffusion as an additional mechanism in the Bovec sequence and in the following



# But what occurred BEFORE the 2004 Bovec-Krn earthquake?



A quiescence is observed before the main shock from RTL analysis (Gentili, 2010, PAGEOPH).
Earthquakes are precluded under conditions of fluid overpressure (Townend and Zoback 2000, Geology)





# CONCLUSIONS

- The permeability values for the four main rock formations in the region are consistent with independent observations for similar lithotypes.
- The ratio between the effective stress and lithostatic load for different vertical profiles in the Bovec area indicated a state of overpressure, with pore-pressure close to the value of the lithostatic load.
- Some of the earthquakes following the Bovec-Krn 2004 earthquake are compatible with a mechanism of fluid diffusion, and their locations are consistent with the transient.
- A quiescence has been observed in the months preceding the Bovec-Krn 2004 earthquake, compatible with the overpressure state precluding earthquakes.
- The valve behavior of the Ravne fault is, hence, compatible with the seismicity characteristics.



# **THANKS!**



J.Brauer https://www.mountainphotography.com/gallery/earthquake-in-julian-alps/

#### More details in

Rossi, G., Fabris, P., Zuliani, D., 2018. Pure and Applied Geophysics, 175, 1869-1888, doi: 10.1007/s00024-017-1712-x.

The seismometric network and FReDNet are managed by OGS with the support of the Friuli Venezia Giulia Regional Civil Protection. We thank ARSO for data sharing, all the colleagues managing the OGS seismometric network, and G. Bressan, and G. Bohm, for the suggestions and comments.



# Materiale supplementare



# Analysis and correction for the hydrological and seasonal effects ACOM



## Northern Adria: the tectonics





Bressan G., et al. 2016. J. of Seismology, 20, I2, 511–534, DOI: 10.1007/s10950-015-9541-9.

20

# Hydraulic tomography

If it is a porosity wave, with fluid-filled cracks, it can be inverted through hydraulic tomography, the main equation being:

$$t(x_r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \int_{x_s}^{x_r} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{D_h(s)}}$$

t is the observed arrival time of a signal from the source s to the receiver r and  $D_h$  is the diffusivity as function of the path s (Brauchler et al, 2013).

# Hydraulic diffusivity is:

$$D_h = \frac{k}{\eta_f \beta}$$

where  $\eta_f$  is the fluid viscosity, k the permeability and  $\beta$  the compressibility.



#### Stratigraphy in the region





# The transient signal after correction from the hydrological and seasonal effects



# Rossi et al. ESC 2016 Tilting directions



 $\mathbf{E}$ 

Rossi et al. Analisi retrospettiva della fase precedente il terremoto di M<sub>D</sub>=5.1 di Bovec-Krn (2004).



Velocity 7-40 km/yr





Kastelic et al., 2008 J. Struct. Geol.



1622

### Porosity waves

- Porosity are packets of fluid-filled, hydraulically connected cracks that self-propagate within a ductile matrix following the pressure gradient (Rice, 1992; Connolly and Podladchikov, 2000; 2013; Revil and Cathles, 2002).
- The porosity waves break up into spherically symmetric 3D solitary waves, since the 1D solution is unstable. In heterogeneous media, the wavefront changes shape, following permeability variations to achieve more efficient transport (Wiggins and Spiegelman, 1995).
- There is compaction at the base of the affected rocks and dilation at the top with an **upward propagation of the porosity wave** (Connolly and Podladchikov, 2013), and in the direction of the minimum horizontal stress.



Jordan et al., EPSL, 2018



#### Is this plausible?

#### Relationship between the horizontal and the vertical motion

Skarbek, R.M. & Rempel, A.W. (2016). G-cubed



27